In Maritime early nineteenth-century education, literature and church life there is one who towers above all other Presbyterians – Thomas McCulloch. A host of studies have been done on McCulloch explaining several aspects of his varied and energetic life. It is certain that more studies will continue to emerge and be welcomed. This paper is an effort to explore one neglected aspect of Thomas McCulloch, namely his friendship with William McGavin and their relationship concerning matters of a transatlantic literary/religious nature. Because little is known of their friendship, this paper begins by establishing certain biographical matters. Attention will also be given to McGavin’s letters to McCulloch which are invaluable for McCulloch studies. Brief compass will be made here to the letters and Roman Catholic polemics. Then the paper will proceed to examine parallel religious and moral literary themes in McGavin and McCulloch. Finally, the Covenanter ideal will be examined in each, and this examination will point to a web of Scottish writers.

Thomas McCulloch and William McGavin: Two Scottish Lads

The lifelong friendship between Thomas McCulloch and Rev. John Mitchell of Glasgow, and with the Mitchell family, has been duly noted since Life of Thomas McCulloch which was published by his son William McCulloch and edited by Thomas’ granddaughters in 1920. In many ways this is the strength and the weakness of this standard biography. It offers much by way of contact between McCulloch and Scotland via the
Mitchells but does not sufficiently explore other Scottish connections. For example, William McGavin is not included in the biography’s index and the name occurs only once in the main text and only as “From Mr. McGavin of the ‘Protestant.”’ Thus, it is easy to miss William McGavin.\(^4\) A proper exploration of McCulloch and McGavin rewards a rich return to the student of McCulloch. It is good that the new *Dictionary of Scottish Church History and Theology* includes an excellent entry on McGavin, and with a link to Thomas McCulloch, something which the John Mitchell article omits.\(^6\)

William McGavin was born in Auchinlock, Ayrshire in 1773, into a Seceder family (Antiburgher – the same denomination as the McCullochs). Auchinlock is famous for having been the burial place of the noted Covenantant, Alexander Pedan, remembered as the “prophet.” McGavin’s birthplace was significant as a Covenantant region and this surfaced in his writing.\(^7\) As a boy he was apprenticed as a weaver, then bookseller, in Paisley where he attended the Oakshaw (Seceder) Street Church. The Oakshaw Street Church, Paisley, was the church home of the McCulloch family and we are told by a McGavin biographer that one of McGavin’s closest friends during his Paisley youth was Thomas McCulloch – “a young man of great energy and superior attainments . . .” who was “among his more intimate friends” and, further, that they remained lifelong friends.\(^8\) The other close friend of William McGavin’s boyhood was Alexander Wilson, who achieved fame in America as an illustrator, ornithologist, friend and precursor of John Audubon.\(^9\)

Thomas McCulloch was born in 1776, in Fereneze, now part of Barrhead, but at the time a distinct area between Neilston and Paisley. The Fereneze Hills run toward the border with Ayrshire and were the centre of a specialized textile trade.\(^10\) It was an area which was going from a rural economic base to that of an industrialized region during McCulloch’s childhood.

McGavin and McCulloch formed a close friendship from their common church connection in Paisley. McCulloch, unlike McGavin, proceeded to study at the University of Glasgow. While at the University he gave private lessons tutoring Hebrew. One of his students was Ralph Wardlaw.\(^11\) Wardlaw’s name will appear at various junctures of a study on McCulloch but here it is sufficient to note that Wardlaw was later McGavin’s close friend.\(^12\)

*The Six McGavin Letters and Roman Catholic Polemics*
Having introduced the matter of the close friendship between McCulloch and McGavin in Scotland, we break off exploring fuller biographical details and turn to the McGavin-McCulloch relationship after McCulloch’s departure in 1803 to New Scotland (Nova Scotia). We begin with William McGavin’s six extant letters to Thomas McCulloch between 1808 and 1819, which appear to have been ignored in McCulloch studies.  

In reading these letters there are at least four areas which deserve study: one, the relationship between McGavin and McCulloch on Roman Catholic polemics; two, the relationship between the two and literature; three, the relationship between the two and the exchange of news, new theological works and society enterprises; and, four, the mention of McGavin’s interest in McCulloch’s “New College” (Pictou Academy). Each of the four areas is worthy of a separate paper. However, I will limit my treatment to the first two, namely Roman Catholic polemics and literature. For both McGavin and McCulloch the religious and the literary were never far apart.

Thomas McCulloch’s two largest theological works were on Roman Catholic polemics, to which McGavin made several references. *Popery Condemned by Scriptures and the Fathers...* was printed in Edinburgh in early 1808. The first extant letter from McGavin to McCulloch is dated 7 March 1808; in it McGavin proceeded to tell McCulloch that he had obtained a new copy of *Popery Condemned* from Rev. John Mitchell (it may even be that McCulloch meant it as a complimentary copy for McGavin) and he wrote:

I have been very much amused by it, and have received no small instruction and information upon various points of the popish controversy. It is a subject upon which I would not have thought of reading, had the book not come from a friend... My wonder was excited by the great mass of information you had collected from the writings of the ancients, and could not help admiring the patience that carried you through so many ponderous volumes which you must have consulted...  

McCulloch obviously appreciated his good friend’s words of encouragement and wrote two letters to McGavin in 1809, one on 25 July and the other on 20 December. One can infer from McGavin’s reply of 15 March 1810 that McCulloch had informed his friend of the ongoing battle against Roman Catholicism in Nova Scotia which had necessitated
McCulloch to put pen to paper again in *Popery Again Condemned by Scriptures and the Fathers*. which was printed in Edinburgh in 1810. McGavin expressed his comments and encouragement to McCulloch, “Do not be afraid of the Vicar General, I should like to see his book and your reply.”

Again in 1811, McGavin wrote another letter to McCulloch with further commendation to McCulloch for his *Popery Condemned* writings. McGavin was obviously one of McCulloch’s best readers. The next pertinent letter was written 11 March 1815. This piece of correspondence does not concern itself with issues Roman Catholic, but other literary matters to be considered shortly. The McGavin letter of 1816 highlights McGavin’s service of furnishing McCulloch with British news and information. This letter reveals the intimate web of family and friends that brought these two men into mutual accord.

The last letter which I have located to date from McGavin to McCulloch is dated 27 March 1819. McGavin freely admitted his use of McCulloch’s two volumes of *Popery Condemned* in his own serial *The Protestant*: “You will see that I have made use of your name, and have sometimes availed myself of what you have written. I sometimes think that if I had not read your volumes, I would not have thought of writing on the subject, so I hope your work will be of more extensive benefit perhaps than you even thought of.” It would appear that McGavin became Thomas McCulloch’s popularizer, taking McCulloch’s name many places. McGavin’s *The Protestant* was first published in Glasgow and there were separate printings in Dublin, Liverpool and Albany, New York. It received the attention of many, including the Bishop of St. David’s, Wales. Comments have been made that *The Protestant* was even more popular in the United States than in Britain. In attempting to find direct references in *The Protestant* to McCulloch’s *Popery Condemned*, I found sixteen in volumes one and two. Two such references which are blatantly obvious: “As my friend Mr. McCulloch observes . . . [who is quoting from Aquinas on worshipping the cross] I make no apology for quoting so largely from so lively a writer as Mr. McCulloch, whose interesting work is not known in this country, except by a few individuals. This gentleman, who is a minister in Nova Scotia, has most ably exposed the errors of Popery . . .”

It is not difficult to conclude from these six letters and from *The Protestant* that the man behind McGavin was McCulloch. Andrew Thomson, one of the leading evangelicals in the Church of Scotland, wrote a review on *The Protestant* and said: “This work . . . will be found to
contain a more complete view of the errors of Popery than any work that has been written since the happy Revolution in 1688.” Should not a footnote have been given to Thomas McCulloch?

McGavin’s production of The Protestant appeared at an opportune time for McCulloch was busy with the struggles to develop Pictou Academy. The boyhood friendship from Paisley continued even after McCulloch’s departure for Nova Scotia, and the letters of McGavin highlight the exchange in the area of Roman Catholic polemics. I turn next to the area of literature, as readers are first introduced to it through the McGavin letters, then in a focused examination of select literary works and themes.

**McGavin and McCulloch: Parallel Literary Themes—Religious and Moral**

McGavin’s six extant letters to McCulloch present the matter of McGavin’s literary output in a simple factual manner. First McGavin decried the fact to McCulloch that he had been unable to publish more: “I have been so much taken up with the cares of business, during a period of singular commercial distress, that I have published nothing on any subject since I wrote you last.” Since this is dated, 1811, it was before his writing on Roman Catholic polemics, and this points towards his other major writing enterprise—“tracts,” of which he made abundant references in four of the six letters.

Generally the word *tract* is perceived to be a “short treatise or discourse or pamphlet especially on [a] religious subject,” such as the Oxford Tracts of the Tractarian Movement. McGavin’s tracts were most definitely on a religious subject but were often conveyed through the means of a story, so one has to have a certain elasticity in the use of his term “tract.” For example, in Letter No. 4, dated 11 March 1815, McGavin listed some of the tracts that he was sending to McCulloch. They were: “The Royal Visitor,” “Profit and Loss,” “A Journey in the Highlands,” “True Riches,” and “Mrs. Murray and Her Children.” About a year later McGavin wrote again to McCulloch and told him that he had sent the third part (tract) of “Mrs. Murray and Her Children” but said “whether there shall ever be a fourth part I cannot tell.” These tracts were the only literary works mentioned by McGavin, excepting some verses he had written which were published without his knowledge.

If one looks for a direct influence “from the pen of your correspon-
dent” (McGavin) upon McCulloch’s literary endeavours of 1821 to 1823, in *The Mephibosheth Stepsure Letters* one will be hard pressed to find even a few literary similarities in terms of style with the McGavin tracts. This should not detract from the overall argument as it is encouraging to see new studies (such as one recently done by Gwendolyn Davies) commending other McCulloch literary material as showing the best reflection of McCulloch’s nineteenth-century literary preoccupations. For too long readers have been limited to thinking of McCulloch as merely the father of Canadian humour with the use of satire.

There are clear parallels between McGavin’s “tracts” and McCulloch’s literary works but these lie most clearly in *Colonial Gleanings – William and Melville*, his novellas. Granted, “William” was extracted from *Stepsure* but when it was put with “Melville” it took a new literary form. *William and Melville* was aimed at parents in Scotland concerning their children going abroad, with all the potential dangers to their souls. It made for good Sabbath reading and fit with McGavin’s tracts. In 1826, McCulloch was successful in securing a publisher for *William and Melville* in Edinburgh.

McCulloch’s *William and Melville* and McGavin’s *Mrs. Murray and Her Children* are an excellent pair for comparison and, in particular, three parallel religious and moral themes appear, namely: spirituality versus materialism (our modern “lingo”); pious training; and, evangelism and conversion.

The first parallel theme of spirituality versus materialism is prominent in both books. The story of McGavin’s *Mrs. Murray* centres around Mrs. Murray, the widow of two children: Mary, age eight, and James, age five. Her husband had died at age forty. While very prosperous, he had had no regard for his soul due to absorption in business. McCulloch’s *William* concerns a young man in Ayrshire growing up and longing after the pursuits of a fellow Scot who had acquired a vast fortune in America and then returned home. William wants to do the same: “In the opinion of the youth of Scotland, to go abroad and to get rich, are terms nearly synonymous.” He leaves for Nova Scotia, seeking his fortune, and settles in Halifax. With the passage of time, he too, becomes engrossed in business and eventually business crowds out all true spirituality from his soul. Both Mrs. Murray’s husband and William are like the parable of the rich farmer who built more barns but neglected his soul. McCulloch made certain that his readers did not lose sight of this theme when he quoted from the Scottish Psalter of 1650, Psalm 49:11, where the rich
think that their houses will last forever but they fail to see that they cannot perpetuate themselves.\(^{40}\)

Turning next to the parallel theme of pious training in McCulloch’s *William and Melville* and McGavin’s *Mrs. Murray* B little searching is required. William, like Mrs. Murray’s children, Mary and James, had been duly instructed—catechized in the scriptures and Christianity; William by two pious parents, Mary and James by their mother.\(^{41}\) Family religion, in addition to church, received a predominant place in each book as the crucial sphere for pious training of the youth. Even in *Melville*, where Melville lacked such pious training in his youth, there is an example for the reading parents of what not to do. Yet Melville encountered the positive example of the piety of a house in the wilderness which leads naturally into the third parallel theme—evangelism and conversion.

Both books abound in this clearly evangelical theme, and are in keeping with the doctrinal perspective of the authors who were steeped in the theology of the free offer of the gospel. One reads in *Mrs. Murray* about a rebellious son who was “awakened” by the remembrance of the Scriptures.\(^{42}\) William, at the end, is found reading a letter from his father and cries out “My father, my Bible, my Sav____,” with the last word of course being “saviour.” William drifts off without uttering it. That scene mixes together the remembrance of pious training and the evangelical call to conversion and repentance of sin. It serves as an exhortation to the reader to believe in Christ.\(^{43}\) With Melville one reads the story of a convert from, at best, nominalism to a living Christian faith.\(^{44}\) The means that God uses in Melville’s conversion is the great Presbyterian, James MacGregor, whom McCulloch writes into his story as a great evangelist, traversing the Maritime backwoods with the unadulterated gospel, without any New Light admixture. Yet old Nelson and his daughter Elizabeth also have their duty in witness and evangelism with Melville.\(^{45}\) In much the same way Mrs. Murray, a woman, is held up as a model in the way she visited the poor, distributed tracts, catechisms, and, in essence, represented evangelical Christianity.\(^{46}\) McGavin’s writing is abundantly clear on the theme of being “in Christ” and the task of evangelism, as is McCulloch in *William and Melville*.

It is highly speculative and unnecessary to ask just how much McGavin’s tracts aided McCulloch in pursuing such a literary course in *William and Melville*. The overall literary style, coupled with the religious and moral themes of spirituality versus materialism, pious training, and evangelism and conversion, are worthy notes of comparison. Such a study
also helps put McCulloch’s work into the wider context of his day.

**The Covenanter Ideal and the Counter-Scott Circle**

Until recently little attention has been given to the Covenanter Ideal as expressed in Thomas McCulloch’s literary works. It is not a central matter in *The Stepsure Letters*, but becomes much more central in *William and Melville* and in his unpublished literary works. Interestingly, the Covenanter Ideal was a major concern of William McGavin. Both men shared a common sympathy for the Scottish Covenanters and in particular for those who suffered during the days of persecution, the Killing Times.

In *William and Melville* one receives rather extensive information on the Covenanters, akin to an historical fiction novel. William’s home south of Glasgow (likely Ayrshire) was the house from which William’s great-grandfather had been taken, “to cement with his blood, in the Grassmarket of Edinburgh, that noble structure of civil and religious privilege which is the glory of Scotland” [and] your great-grandfather . . . was dragged from this house and persecuted to the death, for the testimony of Jesus . . .” Likewise, with the story of Melville, one learns much Covenanter history. The setting is on a western Scottish moor, the land of the “Mountain Men” or “Cameronians.” McCulloch gave glowing praise to this body and wrote of “the beneficial influence of presbyterian government upon the religious and good order of mankind.”

Onto the moor, where young Melville is, comes Andrew Welwood, a weaver and a Reformed Presbyterian. McCulloch makes a point of informing the reader that Welwood had examined the Bible “and respecting many points of the ecclesiastical history of Scotland, he possessed more accurate views than some, who, to the disgrace of the nation, have been recognized as its best historians . . .” McCulloch was obviously making a “jab” at Sir Walter Scott. Following this interlude the story resumes to reveal how Melville’s great-grandfather was indirectly responsible for William’s great-grandfather’s martyrdom. This scene includes a moving depiction of Melville’s great-grandfather coming to the prison of Edinburgh seeking William’s great-grandfather’s forgiveness.

Beyond McCulloch’s published *William and Melville*, there is ample evidence showing that McCulloch had a personal attachment to the Covenanter Ideal. For example, he corresponded with Rev. Alexander Clarke, a leading Covenanter in the Maritimes residing in the Chignecto region. Clarke’s letter to McCulloch of 14 June 1831 shows the cordial
regard which existed between the two men, one a Covenanter and the other Seceder.\textsuperscript{52} Further correspondence ensued.\textsuperscript{53} Somerville was also a leading Covenanter in the Maritimes; it is noteworthy that Thomas McCulloch’s last speaking engagement was at the Covenanter Church at Cornwallis, Nova Scotia for Rev. William Somerville.\textsuperscript{54} These points only show that McCulloch’s Covenanter sympathies went beyond literature to include personal respect and admiration.

Looking past McCulloch’s \textit{William and Melville} for the Covenanter Ideal one encounters a myriad of manuscript material left by McCulloch, much of which is clearly Covenanter historical fiction. In \textit{The Life of Thomas McCulloch} following a visit to Scotland, McCulloch

> at the request of some friends there, began a series of tales designed to be an offset to Sir Walter Scott’s aspersions of the Covenanters in “Old Mortality.” Sir Walter entertained toward the Covenanters so little sympathy that he did not hesitate, if not to do them injustice, yet to withhold from them that meed of gratitude which his native land owners for their resistance to the will of a despot, and the well earned influence of Scotland on the world’s history . . .\textsuperscript{55}

It would be nice to know the names of the Scottish friends who suggested this subject for writing to McCulloch in the early 1820s. Because McGavin correspondence from the 1820s is not available it can only be speculated that he was one of the influencers. Nevertheless, with McGavin’s vast array of publications on the Covenanters one cannot dismiss this speculation too quickly. McGavin edited the famous work by John Howie, \textit{Scots Worthies}, and \textit{Napthali} and published \textit{Memories of John Brown of Priesthill and Rev. Hugh MacKail}.\textsuperscript{56} In fact William Reid said of McGavin that there was no other contemporary of William McGavin, excepting Thomas McCrie, who possessed such a knowledge of the literature of the Reformation and Covenanting periods as William McGavin.\textsuperscript{57} It is noteworthy that both McGavin and McCrie shared similar interests and a close friendship. McCrie wrote the biography of John Knox, whereas McGavin edited Knox’s \textit{History of the Reformation in Scotland} and dedicated this work to Thomas McCrie.\textsuperscript{58} Thus, the revelation of the circle of friends keeps growing.

McCulloch would appear at first hand isolated from all that was being written on the Covenanters and against the Scott portrait, yet he was not really that far removed. The Mitchells may have taken one of the Covenanter manuscripts to a Scottish publisher, but in no way does this
account for the full extent of the Scottish friends urging McCulloch to write something to counter Sir Walter Scott. McGavin, McCrie and John Mitchell were also all signatories of the petition supporting Pictou Academy, another sign of the support and esteem they showed for their transplanted Scottish friend.  

McCulloch had a clear purpose in view for his literary work “Auld Eppie’s Tales,” which were rejected by Blackwoods for its coarse humour and for penetrating too closely into Scott’s field. His response was:

I never intended to be an imitator of Sir Walter. I have neither his knowledge nor talents. But on the other hand I conceived that the kind of information and humour which I possess would have enabled me to vindicate where he has misrepresented, and render contemptible and ludicrous what he has laboured to dignify.

McCulloch’s biographers summarize the purpose of this novel as: “The object was to place the principles and characters of the Scottish Covenanters in their true light.” Thus McCulloch should be seen as producing a “counter fiction” in “Auld Eppie” and his other unpublished works, “Morton,” and “Days of the Covenanter” (as has also been said of John Galt’s Ringan Gilhaize [1823] and James Hogg’s The Brownies of Bodsbeck [1818]). There is immense value in placing McCulloch within the wider Scottish literary field. The contemporary critics viewed Galt and Hogg as “inferior copyists” in dealing with a subject which was “preoccupied ground.” It seems that McCulloch was being relegated to these same ranks. John Raleigh has stated it well: “To have been alive and literate in the nineteenth century, was to have been affected in some way by the Waverley novels.” Parallels have been drawn between McCulloch’s style and Galt’s but not by way of particularly addressing the theme of the Covenanter Ideal.

There is an entire host of Scottish literature and historical writings which need to be carefully studied and compared to McCulloch around the Covenanter Ideal. Many of these writers represent a particular “anti-Scott” viewpoint concerning Old Mortality, such as William McGavin, Thomas McCrie, John Galt, and James Hogg. Should another be added, Robert Pollock? After all, it was Robert Grant in 1882 who made a comparison between McCulloch’s William and Melville and Pollock’s Helen of the Glen. Pollock’s work was issued as Tales of the Covenanters. It is highly sympathetic toward the Covenanters and includes three stories which were subsequently issued as one volume.
The early-nineteenth century was a time of prolific writing on the Covenanter Ideal. Ina Ferris’ seminal work, *The Achievement of Literary Authority: Gender, History and the Waverley Novels* now needs to be brought into the light of other counter fiction writers to include McCulloch of Nova Scotia and also to compare it to his Scottish contemporaries McGavin, McCrie and Pollock, if not others. Least one think this subject is only a matter of historical fiction of the Covenanters in early nineteenth-century literature, readers will note that the style and theme of the Covenanter Ideal are again being popularized in the North American evangelical community in Douglas Jones, *Scottish Seas*. In all likelihood one would not hear any protest from a William McGavin or a Thomas McCulloch.

**Conclusion**

This paper set out to show that “Two Scottish Lads” shared a common religious heritage and friendship while they grew up in Scotland. That friendship continued to be fostered by letters and other exchanges after Thomas McCulloch’s departure for Nova Scotia. McCulloch clearly made an impact upon William McGavin’s writings on Roman Catholic polemics, yet McCulloch too became a beneficiary in that process. An analysis of one selection of McCulloch’s literary writings, *William and Melville* and one from McGavin, *Mrs. Murray and Her children*, shows the same themes and intentions of writing primarily a religious story with a moral purpose. Finally, both men were clearly part of a literary group of the early-eighteenth century which was anti-Scott and each in their respective ways produced literary or historical works in clear sympathy with the Scottish Covenanters. A proper study comparing the chronological development of Scott’s *Waverley Novels* to McCulloch’s “Covenanting Tales” awaits to be done. Neither writer confines himself to the Killing Times, so a proper chronological study is in order – as is the securing of a place for a transplanted Scot, Thomas McCulloch, amongst the Scottish writers of the counter-Scott circle.
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